

## Comparison Chart: Justice in Policing Act and the JUSTICE Act

Leadership Conference Priorities	In Justice in Policing Act?	In JUSTICE Act?
National Standard on Use of Force	<b>Yes.</b> Changes the use of force standard from reasonableness to 'unless necessary...' and requires additional warnings related to its use.	<b>No.</b> Does not create a national standard on use of force.
Prohibit Chokeholds and Similar Restrictive Maneuvers	<b>Yes.</b> Prohibits chokeholds and other carotid holds	<b>No.</b> Does not prohibit chokeholds in instances where deadly force is authorized and does not address other carotid holds.
Prohibit Racial Profiling and Require Robust Data Collection on Police-Community Encounters and Law Enforcement Activities	<b>Yes.</b> Prohibits racial profiling, although it does lack strong data collection and enforcement mechanisms.	<b>No.</b> Does not address racial profiling and only requires minimal data collection pertaining to race for certain, limited use of force events.
Eliminate Federal Programs That Provide Military Equipment to Law Enforcement	<b>Partially.</b> Limits the transfer of certain equipment but does not end the program entirely.	<b>No.</b> Does not address the issue at all.
Prohibit the Use of No-Knock Warrants	<b>Partially.</b> Prohibits no-knocks in drug cases, but does not prohibit entirely.	<b>No.</b> Does not prohibit no-knocks; just incentivizes reporting about their use.
Change the 18 USC Sec. 242 "mens rea" requirement	<b>Yes.</b> Changes it from "willful" to "knowingly or with reckless disregard."	<b>No.</b> Does not address this issue at all.
Develop a National Public Misconduct Database	<b>Yes.</b> Establishes a registry that is largely publicly available.	<b>Partially.</b> Incentivizes reporting to the FBI's existing database on use of force and requires data sharing between agencies. Does not make that information publicly accessible, nor does it require it to include cases that are not adjudicated and result in adverse actions.
End Qualified Immunity	<b>Partially.</b> Ends qualified immunity for local law enforcement officers but does not eliminate the doctrine.	<b>No.</b> Does not address issue at all.